



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,034	08/30/2001	Satoshi Ouchi	62758-013	7284	
20277	7590 11/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	TT WILL & EMERY	LEE, MICHAEL			
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2614		

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/942,034	OUCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Lee	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replest fixed period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 J</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the process. 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
	ex parto quayro, 1000 o.b. 11, 40	50 O.O. 210.
Application Papers 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-18 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-4, 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	n from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s)	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/942,034

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Figure 5 corresponding to claims 4-8 in the reply filed on 7/21/04 is acknowledged. However, after a close reviewing, the examiner has found that claims 5-8 do not correspond to Figure 5 because Figure 5 does not have the image forming optical system as claimed. Instead claims 1-4, 19 and 20 read on Figure 5. Since applicant elects Figure 5, claims 1-4, 19 and 20 are being examined as follow.
- Claims 5-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7/21/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Application/Control Number: 09/942,034

Art Unit: 2614

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 3, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knox (6,204,901).

Regarding claim 1, Knox discloses a video display apparatus showing an optical source unit (157), a polarization converter (202), an optical characteristics switching element (205), a video display element (161), a radiating device (160), a projector (162).

Regarding claim 3, ferroelectrics liquid crystal (FLC) in Knox controls light diffraction by a voltage (col. 4, lines 19-21) the radiating device 160 is a beam splitter, and the LCD 161 is a reflective type video display element.

Regarding claim 19, see rejections above.

Regarding claim 20, in addition of above, Knox inherently includes a writing step because the LCD element 161 has to be addressed in vertical direction in order to provide a proper image.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knox (6,204,901).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,034

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 2, Knox further shows a polarizing beam splitter (160) and a reflection type video display element except the U-shaped light path-changing element as claimed. The examiner takes Official Notice that using a light path-changing element in a video projector is well known in the art for its ability to compact the size of the projector. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a U-shaped light-path changing element into Knox to perform the well-known functions as claimed.

Regarding claim 4, in addition of above, Knox further shows a total reflection prism (160), and a reflective type LCD device (161), except that reflection type micromirror video display element as claimed. Knox teaches that the LCD element and the digital mirror device (DMD) are interchangeable with each other (col. 1, lines 21-27). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to replace the LCD element with a DMD element to perform the well-known functions as claimed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Handschy et al. (5,347,378) shows a color shutter.

Sharp et al. (6,247,571) shows a color shutter.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-4743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached on 703-305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614